

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David W. Farchmin
Serial No.: 10/675,535
Filed: September 30, 2003
Title: Wireless Location Based Automated Components
Art Unit: 2121
Examiner: Jennifer L. Norton
Our Ref.: 110003.00051.03AB206

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450,
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Box: Amendment

Dear Sir:

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW


Applicant presented arguments with respect to the lack of prior art of DeMeyer in teaching a statutory human machine interface that provides direct interaction with operator. The Examiner referred to page 1, paragraphs 3, 4, 6 and 7 of DeMeyer to show, what Examiner believed to be, support for Applicants claimed limitation, as well as the disclosure of motivation in view of DeMeyer and KSR.

Hence, the Applicant and Examiner did not reach an agreement with respect to the prior art of DeMeyer in teaching a statutory human machine interface that provides direct interaction with the operator. The Applicant and Examiner however did agree the API of DeMeyer is a stationary interface that includes a receiver.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID W. FARCHMIN

Date: 9-12-08

By: 
Michael A. Jaskolski
Reg. No. 37,551
Attorney for Applicant
QUARLES & BRADY, LLP
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI. 53202-4497
(414) 277-5711